

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/740,203	12/18/2003	Vernon Fernandez	706752US2	2240
24938 7	590 05/27/2005		EXAMINER	
DAIMLERCHRYSLER INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL CORPORATION CIMS 483-02-19 800 CHRYSLER DR EAST			KERNS, KEVIN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUBURN HIL	AUBURN HILLS, MI 48326-2757		1725	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055 4.45 0	10/740,203	FERNANDEZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin P. Kerns	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 December 2003</u> .						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	,—					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 2, 3rd line, replace "loosing" with "loosening". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: in the 4th line, insert "of" before "spaced". In the last line, replace "relative" with "relatively". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1725

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welch (US 2,776,362) in view of either Seele (US 2,590,896) or Malsbury et al. (US 6,751,852).

Welch discloses a resistance welding machine that includes an actuator 20 that is connected onto a mounting member via a plurality of fasteners 21, such that the mounting member for securing the actuator 20 has a plurality of spaced-apart apertures (arranged in a circle about a center point) through which the fasteners 21 are placed in a removably secured manner to the welding machine, in which the fasteners are threaded and include a narrow shank portion of a smaller diameter and a wider head portion of a larger diameter (column 2, lines 13-39; and Figures 1 and 3). Welch does not disclose the use of a plurality of keyhole-type apertures to engage the fasteners.

However, Seele discloses a locking device that includes a plurality of circumferentially spaced keyhole slots 18 (keyhole apertures) that include an enlarged end 19 and a narrow portion 20, such that the keyhole slots are advantageous for aligning and subsequently locking the components together (column 1, lines 11-43; column 2, lines 2-10 and 35-55; column 3, lines 1-55; and Figures 1-6).

In addition, Malsbury et al. disclose a vessel having a lock plate, in which the lock plate 400 includes a plurality of circumferentially spaced keyhole slots 404 that are advantageous for alignment and securing of flanged components (abstract; column 2,

Art Unit: 1725

lines 59-67; column 3, lines 1-17; column 5, lines 36-55; column 8, lines 14-45; and Figures 6 and 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicants' invention was made to modify the securing system for fastening an actuator to a resistance welding machine, as disclosed by Welch, by using a plurality of keyhole-type apertures to engage the fastener, as taught individually by Seele and Malsbury et al., in order to align and lock the components together (Seele; column 1, lines 18-35; and column 2, lines 2-20), and in order to align and secure flanged components (Malsbury et al.; column 3, lines 2-17; column 5, lines 46-55; and column 8, lines 14-45).

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Mark and Dolgas et al. references are also cited in PTO-892.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kevin P. Kerns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-5:00pm.

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin P. Kerns *Levin Kuns 5/10/05*Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1725

₭የ₭ kpk May 20, 2005